For the second consecutive year, the University of Pittsburgh ranks in the uppermost tier of U.S. public research universities according to The Top American Research Universities Report, which surveyed 2007 annual report of The Center for Measuring University Performance.

The report again places Pitt in the company of only six other leading public research universities: the University of California at Berkeley, the University of California at Los Angeles, the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, the University of Michigan at Ann Arbor, the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, and the University of Wisconsin at Madison.

For its annual report, the center clusters research universities by objectively assessing their performance on nine different measures: total research and development expenditures, federally sponsored research and development expenditures, endowment assets, annual giving, National Academies members, significant faculty awards, doctors' grants, postdoctoral appointees, and median SAT scores. Tables in the annual report group research universities according to the numbers of times they rank among the top 25 universities in these nine categories. The uppermost tier comprises those universities, including Pitt, that rank in the top 25 in all nine categories.

The center’s coeditors—John V. Lombardi, president of the Louisiana State University System, where he also is a professor of history, and Elizabeth C. Capaldi, executive vice president and university provost of Arizona State University—have described research universities as “highly competitive enterprises,” saying that “those with the highest performance are successful in almost everything they do. As frequent readers of The Top American Research Universities know, we collect data on nine measures, and the best universities excel on all nine.”

In commenting on the University of Pittsburgh’s performance, Chancellor Mark A. Nordenberg stated: “This reaffirmation that Pitt is performing at the very highest levels across a broad range of important measures is a tribute to the talent and ambition that characterize the people of this community.”

—Chancellor Mark A. Nordenberg
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Melanie Dreyer-Lude, an assistant professor in the University of Pittsburgh’s Department of Theatre Arts, and Jeffrey Oaks, a lecturer in the Department of English, have been named winners of the 2008 Tina and David Bellet Arts and Sciences Teaching Excellence Award. Pitt’s School of Arts and Sciences announced.

The Bellet Awards were established in 1998 with a $200,000 donation from School of Arts and Sciences alumnus David Bellet (CAS ’67) and his wife, Tina, to recognize outstanding and innovative undergraduate teaching in Arts and Sciences. A committee appointed by the Arts and Sciences associate dean for undergraduate studies evaluates teaching skills as evidenced by student-teaching and peer evaluations, student testimonials, and dossiers submitted by the nominees. Full-time faculty who have taught in Arts and Sciences over the past three years are eligible. Each award recipient receives a cash prize of $5,000.

Dreyer-Lude and Oaks will be honored at an April 9 dinner in the ballroom of the William Pitt Union.

Dreyer-Lude is head of performance and director of the Master of Fine Arts (MFA) program in performance pedagogy in Pitt’s theatre arts department. Prior to joining the University in 2006, Dreyer-Lude served from 1997 to 2000 as a teaching assistant in the Department of Theatre at Northwestern University. From 1993 to 1997, she was an artist-in-residence at Washington University and artistic director of ShatterMask Theatre, both in St. Louis, Mo.

Among Dreyer-Lude’s numerous acting credits are roles in A Woman of No Importance, The House of Blue Leaves, On Golden Pond, Ten Little Indians, Camelot, and The Wizard of Oz. Her professional directing credits include plays in Capital Repertory Theatre, Albany, N.Y.; Theateraram, Stuttgart, Germany; and Pittsburgh Irish and Classical Theatre, barebones productions, and Pitt Repertory Theatre, Pittsburgh. She also has directed many academic productions.

Dreyer-Lude, who is fluent in German, has received various honors from Pitt, including a grant from the University’s Department of Russian and Eastern Europe.
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Dreyer-Lude graduated cum laude with a Bachelor of Arts degree in theater and music from the University of Denver in 1983. She earned a master’s degree in drama from Washington University in 1992, and an MFA degree in directing from Northwestern University in 2000.

Oaks, who began his teaching career at Pitt in 1990, also is managing director of the University’s Pittsburgh Contemporary Writers Series. He served as assistant director of Pitt’s Writing Center from 1997 to 1999.

Since 1991, Oaks has been a writer-in-residence for the Western Pennsylvania Writing Project. He also served as an instructor at the Western Penitentiary from 1993 to 1997 and a writer-in-residence at the Ellis School from 1994 to 1996.

He has written two poetry collections: The Moon of Books chapbook (Ultima Obscura Press, 2000) and The Unknown Country chapbook (State Street Press, 1992), which was chosen as winner of the State Street Press Chapbook Project. (A chapbook is a small book or pamphlet containing poems, ballads, stories, or religious tracts.)

His poems have been published in the Pittsburgh Post-Gazette, Hanging Loose, Bloom, Seneca Review, 5 a.m., Poughkeepsie, Zone 3, Cumberland Poetry Review, Poetry Motel, Evergreen Chronicles, Southern Poetry Review, and Pittsburgh Quarterly.

Among Oaks’ awards are three Pennsylvania Council on the Arts fellowships—in 1992, 2000, and 2004—and an Individual Artist Grant from The Pittsburgh Foundation in 2000. He also was nominated for a Pushcart Prize in 1999.

Oaks earned a Bachelor of Arts degree in English literature from the State University of New York at Binghamton in 1986 and an MFA degree in creative writing (poetry) from Pitt in 1990. He also completed graduate study in poetry at the University of Montana and an MFA degree in creative writing (poetry) from Pitt in 1990. He also completed graduate study in poetry at the University of Montana.
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A Guiding Force for Academic Excellence at Pitt

Patricia Beeson

Later this year Patricia Beeson will celebrate the 25th anniversary of her arrival on the Pitt campus as a newly minted PhD in economics from the University of Oregon, ready to launch her academic career as an assistant professor of economics.

“My sister and I packed up the car and started off in Oregon, where I was born, grew up, went to high school, and got my bachelor’s degree in economics at Oregon State University in addition to my graduate education,” she recalls. “It took us a week to drive to Pittsburgh. Every morning we would listen to Simon and Garfunkel sing ‘America’ [‘They’ve all come to look for America’] and made sure the part where they sing ‘we boarded a Greyhound in Pittsburgh! was playing as we went through the Fort Pitt tunnel!”

Beeson says she was “a sheltered West Coast Oregonian” back in the summer of 1983, one of a family of six kids, “right in the middle, the only academic in the bunch,” and yet “came here to be in a big city.”

Why did she come? “Because I’m an urban economist, and Pitt has had a long tradition in urban and regional economics as one of the strongest programs in the U.S. in that area. It was great to join that tradition.

“In my graduate studies role, I oversee 209 graduate and professional degree programs offered by faculty in 14 schools and more than 9,500 graduate and professional students. This represents all graduate and professional programs university-wide, including the health sciences.”

—Patricia Beeson

Like most people beginning an academic career, I thought I would be here a short while, hoping to get tenure, but didn’t know whether it would work out. I didn’t expect to be here that long, but 25 years later, here I am. I was hopeful, but you never know,” she says. “It turned out to be a case of being in the right place at the right time for an academic researcher focused on studying the effects of radical shifts in a major urban region’s economies.

“When I arrived here, in the early ’80s, the region was in great decline with the mills closing,” Beeson remembers. “My initial research interests were why certain regions grow and others decline, and what the impact of that growth and decline is on labor markets and other aspects of the local economies.” As it happened, Beeson’s interests squared with those of the Federal Reserve Bank in Cleveland, and during her third year at Pitt, she began a long-term, ongoing relationship with the Fed. “The bank asked me to come there because there were a lot of synergies between my research interests and its interests. The Federal Reserve had special concern about the fourth district—which includes parts of Pennsylvania, West Virginia, Ohio, and Kentucky—and the industrial decline, which it knew I was studying.”

Later on in her affiliation with the Fed and in her academic research, Beeson became interested in issues surrounding housing markets, “and so again we started working together,” she says. “That project looked at discrimination in mortgage lending, and so I spent many years collaborating with researchers, working at the Cleveland bank as well as at the Federal Reserve Board of Governors in D.C. and at some of the other regional banks, and working with not just the researchers, but people who work on the other side of the Fed house—auditors, operations people—trying to better understand how that market was working and why we observed differences in lending patterns.”

That research influenced the design of new policy and the enforcement of existing policy related to fair lending. She and her fellow researchers, for instance, developed a statistical method to aid regulators in their charge to monitor individual lenders’ compliance.

At Pitt, she was promoted to associate professor in 1990 and full professor in 2000.

Beeson says she’s currently working on two academic research projects “in my spare time.”

The first, which she started “a long time ago,” was spurred by her interest in regional growth and involves the analysis of a data set of every county in the United States from the earliest census, 1790, onward. She and her fellow researchers have looked at the natural advantages of certain regions—such as mineral resources and lakes or coastlines—versus man-made advantages like the presence of universities, and how important these advantages are in determining regional growth.

And then she’s pondering theories of growth. “Do economies diverge, so some get bigger and bigger while others disappear, leaving only really big cities like New York City or Boston?” she asks. “Or do we end up with some big cities, medium cities, smaller cities, but in stable patterns?”

But all of this now has taken a back seat to her all-consuming passion: overseeing the destiny of the University’s academic programs at Pitt’s vice provost for graduate and undergraduate studies. It’s an awesome list of responsibilities by anyone’s reckoning, but she seems to be unfazed by it all when reciting the litany, stating modestly that, after all, “the heavy lifting is done by the schools. I merely manage the process.”

Her experience in higher education administration began when she was asked to serve as associate dean for undergraduate studies in the School of Arts and Sciences in 2001. In that role, she was praised by Pitt Provost James V. Maher for her having “guided the implementation of an important new undergraduate curriculum that emphasizes a culture in which students are engaged with faculty in the scholarly activities of the University.” She also was responsible for the provision of student services, including the Arts and Sciences Advising Center and Academic Support Center, and she played a lead role in developing quantitative models of student enrollment, to ensure that quality instruction was available throughout the school to meet students’ educational objectives and ambitions.

In 2004, Maher named her vice provost for graduate studies; he added interim vice provost for undergraduate studies to her title in 2006 and then named her vice provost for graduate and undergraduate studies in May 2007.

“In my graduate studies role, I oversee 209 graduate and professional degree programs offered by faculty in 14 schools and more than 9,500 graduate and professional students,” Beeson explains. “This
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This is the print version of Chancellor Mark A. Nordenberg’s report to the Pitt Board of Trustees at its Feb. 29 meeting.

Most typically, when I address you, I provide an overview of memorable events and important developments in the life of the University of Pittsburgh, either over the course of the preceding year or covering the period of time since our last meeting. Today, though, I want to focus on a single week, the first week of this month, and I intend to limit my remarks to two events and two principal topics.

On Feb. 1, we not only began a new month in the calendar year but also launched our observance of Black History Month. This year, we did so in partnership with our friend and colleague George Miles, at the world premiere screening of the WQED documentary, Fly Boys: Western Pennsylvania’s Tuskegee Airmen, which the University had helped to sponsor. It was a fantastic evening—drawing an audience of more than 1,000, mainly blacks, who braved the winter weather to travel to Soldiers and Sailors Memorial Hall for an event that was both educational and inspirational.

More than 70 of the Tuskegee Airmen came from Southwest ern Pennsylvania, an unusually high concentration, and 26 of them were Pitt graduates or had other strong Pitt connections. Within the “strong connections” group, for example, was Wendell Freeland, a former member of this board. The documentary is a moving account of loyal and courageous men whose distinguished record of wartime service changed the course of the struggle for civil rights in America. Watching the documentation in the company of some of the surviving Tuskegee Airmen from this region whose contributions are highlighted in the film made the experience even more memorable.

Our Black History Month programs have attracted our enthusiastic following in recent years, principally because we have found ways to press beyond what has become the norm—participating in the national celebration of Black History Month with a speaker or panel discussion. Instead, through a succession of carefully planned initiatives, we have found ways to breathe new life into important, and sometimes neglected, chapters of that history by creatively presenting them. Through presentations, we have found ways to breathe new life into important, and sometimes neglected, chapters of that history, by creatively presenting them.

In a year in which the Consumer Price Index rose 4.1 percent and the proposed overall growth in the state budget is 4.2 percent, the recommended increase to Pitt’s education and general appropriation is a mere 1.5 percent.

—Mark A. Nordenberg

Our line item support for teen suicide services, public health practice, disadvantaged students, and student life initiatives all were frozen and our line item support for rural education outreach was cut by 12 percent overall. The overall increase recommended for Pitt falls to 1.2 percent.

But the troubling nature of this recommendation becomes even more clear when it is considered in context. From fiscal year 2001 through fiscal year 2007, the Higher Education Price Index increased by 32.2 percent, and the Consumer Price Index increased by 20.6 percent. In that same period, Pitt’s appropriation increased by only 3.1 percent. And even reaching that low level of percentage increase depends upon counting federal matching dollars as state funds. Since the commonwealth’s appropriation for the current year, as reported, includes $9.9 million in federal Medicaid matching funds, Pitt’s current appropriation actually includes approximately $700,000 fewer state dollars than our fiscal year 2001 appropriation did.

As the nation emerged from the fiscal challenges that visited us all earlier in this decade, most states began re-investing in higher education in an attempt to reverse losses that had been suffered during that severe downturn. Describing that trend, a recent article in The Chronicle of Higher Education bore the headline “State Appropriations for Higher Education See Biggest Jump in Decades” and reported that “state tax support for higher education climbed 7.5 percent in the 2007-08 budget year [and] was the highest annual increase since 1983.”

The situation in Pennsylvania stands in sharp contrast to that encouraging national trend. State support for higher education here rose only 1.8 percent last year—less than one-fourth of the national increase—placing Pennsylvania 47th among the 50 states. Unfortunately, we were just one spot higher, 46th, when increases over the last two years were compared.

The states that border Pennsylvania, and presumably are subject to some of the same economic constraints, project a very different picture. West Virginia’s support for higher education was up 14.3 percent, Ohio was up 7.7 percent, New York was up 6.8 percent, Delaware was up 4.2 percent, and New Jersey was up 2.7 percent. Many of the states that
are home to universities with which Pitt directly competes also made substantial additional commitments to higher education. For example, Minnesota was up 12.6 percent, Texas was up 11.2 percent, North Carolina was up 10.5 percent, Wisconsin was up 8.2 percent, and California was up 5.7 percent. As noted earlier, the creation of the relationship between the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and the University of Pittsburgh—a relationship that Speaker Irvis helped forge—resulted in additional state financial support for Pitt. It was expected that some of those funds would be applied to general overhead and to the support of particular programs. Also at the heart of this new relationship, though, was an understanding that the state would provide the funding that would, in turn, enable the University to maintain lower tuition rates for Pennsylvanians.

Forty-two years later, the University of Pittsburgh is still maintaining that differential—whether measured against the tuition that we charge our own out-of-state students or against the tuition charged by our private peers. While tuition rates vary from program to program and campus to campus, the undergraduate tuition rates for the Oakland campus, which affects the largest number of students, provide a telling example. In-state, undergraduate tuition at the Oakland campus for the current academic year (before recently announced increases) is $9,850, more than three times larger than Pitt's in-state charge. Many people naturally would assume that it is the state appropriation that permits a public university to offer in-state tuition rates that are so comparatively low, and that may be the entire story in some states. It may even have been the entire story at some early time here at Pitt. However, our modern-era appropriations, though a welcome form of support, cover only part of that large cost gap.

Let me offer an oversimplified calculation, but one that accurately makes a basic point. If you take our entire education and general appropriation and assign that amount, for these purposes, exclusively to in-state tuition reduction and also include our much smaller disadvantaged students and student life initiatives line items, that creates a total pool of about $165 million—a large amount of money. If you divide that number by 26,456, the number of in-state students at Pitt (except for medical students, because the School of Medicine is funded separately), you are left with a per student subsidy of about $6,200. That amount, again, can fairly be viewed as a generous individual subsidy. However, the current gap between in-state and out-of-state undergraduate tuition at Pitt is roughly 1.5 times that amount. And the gap between in state undergraduate tuition at Pitt and undergraduate tuition at Carnegie Mellon is $24,850—roughly four times that individualized level of state support.

By almost any measure, Pitt remains a relative bargain in today’s world of higher education. This is reflected in the rising tide of applications that continue to come our way. It is clear when one compares the costs associated with competitor universities. And independent publications have labeled us a “good value” institution.

—Mark A. Nordenberg
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period of years.

Obviously, none of us can know how many of these recommendations ultimately will be implemented. Presumably, New York State, like Pennsylvania, has budgetary challenges relating to Medicare and prisons and basic education and dozens of other things. But at least New York, like many other states, has determined and declared that public higher education—and, more particularly, public research universities—have got to be included among the state’s high priorities—both in fairness to a new generation of students and as a matter of forward-looking economic self-interest.

It is unlikely, of course, that Speaker Irvis, in 1966, could have envisioned the place that this University, his university, would occupy—in this city, in this commonwealth, and beyond—in 2008. It is clear, however, that he believed in the power of higher education, both in elevating individual lives and in enhancing our collective future. That is why he sponsored the bill that made Pitt a state-related university.

But this belief in the power of education and the government’s responsibility to support it has been a part of the compact between the people of Pennsylvania and their government for more than 221 years. Yesterday, as you all know, was Pitt’s 221st birthday, and the preface to the Act of February 28, 1787, chartering the academy that became our University directly declares that “the education of youth ought to be a primary object with every government.”

Even earlier than that, Ben Franklin, perhaps the greatest Pennsylvanian of all and Rep. Irvis’ predecessor as speaker of the Pennsylvania House of Representatives, stated, simply but memorably, that “an investment in knowledge always pays the best interest.” Unfortunately, though honored in concept, the statement of values seems to have had very little impact, particularly with respect to Pennsylvania’s public research universities, as state budget priorities have been set in more recent years.

Perhaps this year’s stark budget message—buried in a big budget book, but clear in its meaning—will provide the platform from which all of us can work to get things back on track, not only for the good of Pitt but for all of the people who depend on us for so many different things. A commitment to making that happen is a part of the basic bond between us, as institutional stewards. That bond can be traced back to Hugh Henry Brackenridge, our founder and the sponsor of our 1787 chartering legislation. And it is a bond that flows through K. Leroy Irvis, the Lion of Pennsylvania, who was the sponsor of the bill that made Pitt a state-related university.

It seems especially fitting to focus on that bond today, as our University begins its 222nd year. We move into this new year from a highly respected position, with momentum that continues to build, and with truly exciting prospects. But we also move forward with a set of daunting challenges—including, in particular, the challenges just described that relate to state funding. Hopefully, this is one area in which we can effectively reach back, even as we move forward, to restore a more appropriate level of funding to an institution that has proven itself to be a very worthy recipient of investments for more than two centuries.
Patricia Beeson represents all graduate and professional programs Universitywide, including the health sciences. “I am responsible for policies and procedures Universitywide relating to graduate programs. I work with deans as they develop new graduate and professional programs. I chair the University Council on Graduate Studies, which makes recommendations to the provost. I establish allocations policies, distribute graduate financial aid, and address student concerns and complaints that arise.”

Beeson also serves on other universities in that there is no graduate school here. Beeson is careful to point out. “Each school is responsible for its own graduate programs, and not just academic programs, but student services, complaints, financial aid allocations,” she says. “We have to make sure that dissertations are complete, that they conform to policies and procedures.”

Beeson, by the way, has served on dozens of dissertation committees during her years at Pitt; students whose committee chair has been pursue academic careers at colleges and universities throughout this country and around the world.

In her role as vice provost for graduate studies, she has responsibilities related to undergraduate studies at all campuses. “I work with deans and campus presidents to improve programs, on efforts to retain and graduate students. I chair the Provost’s Advisory Committee on Undergraduate Programs; academic integrity process and address student concerns rising to the provost’s level.”

The vice provost is also responsible for enrollment management, working with Director of Admissions and Financial Aid Betty Porter on enrollment issues. She coaches the Enrollment Management Committee and coordinates Universitywide efforts to recruit, retain, and graduate undergraduates.

Beeson coordinates Universitywide learning outcomes assessments, including the new effort to align year-long outcomes assessment. She works with the Office of Institutional Research in developing data to assess academic programs. She also is the liaison responsible for University-level accreditation through the Middle States Association. She works with the Office of the Registrar to develop and interpret policies as they relate to that office, and she chairs the Office of the Registrar Calendar Committee.

In the diversity arena, she chairs the Provost’s Advisory Committee on Women’s Concerns (PACWC), is responsible for leading the Office’s affirmative action review of all faculty appointments, and is an administrative liaison between the Board of Trustees’ Affirmative Action Committee and the Senate Antidiscrimination Policy Committee.

“I see my role as working with faculty and staff to ensure that Pitt has under-graduate and graduate programs benefitting a major research university, that we recruit those students best able to take advantage of those programs, and that we provide the support those students need to be successful,” Beeson says.

Over the past few years, Beeson has been focusing on the assessment of student learning outcomes as a way to “make sure that we continue to build on the strong academic programs offered at Pitt,” Beeson says. “We are asking our faculty to reflect on the academic programs they offer, consider what is it they expect graduates to achieve, and determine whether or not our programs are delivering on those goals the faculty have set. As we consider these questions, I expect that our faculty will identify areas in which the programs can be improved to better help students achieve the goals we have for them, and in this way we will continue to build on the strong academic programs offered at Pitt.”

Beeson emphasizes that Pitt has always assessed its students and evaluated its programs. What is new, she says, is that “we are asking faculty to use the assessment of student learning as part of their overall program evaluation. Until recently, program evaluation has focused on what we would call the ‘inputs’—faculty quality, curricular, qualification of students, and facilities such as labs and classrooms. We are now saying, ‘Let’s also look at the “outputs”: Are our programs graduating students who have the skills, attributes, knowledge we expect they would?’ This is a different way of looking at things, and as often is the case with things that are new, it is not easy. It takes careful, considered reflection by our faculty, and it takes time. But I do believe that once we have the system set up, and assessment becomes a routine part of what we do, it will take less effort, and we will see the benefits in the Provost’s Office’s movement. In fact, I think we already are seeing some of the benefits.”

To have an undergraduate experience that nurtures the development of thoughtful, reflective individuals, Beeson also sees the importance of having student services and curricular programs that are aligned with and support academic programs. “In recent years, the University has invested in student services such as advising because of their importance in helping students get the most out of their years at Pitt,” she says. “We also are very pleased to have Kathy Hunter, the vice provost and dean of students, and I am happy to be working with her on this aspect of the undergraduate experience. She places a high value on aligning student affairs with the academic mission of the University, and she has the ability to make that vision a reality.”
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To have an undergraduate experience that nurtures the development of thoughtful, reflective individuals, Beeson also sees the importance of having student services and curricular programs that are aligned with and support academic programs. “In recent years, the University has invested in student services such as advising because of their importance in helping students get the most out of their years at Pitt,” she says. “We also are very pleased to have Kathy Hunter, the vice provost and dean of students, and I am happy to be working with her on this aspect of the undergraduate experience. She places a high value on aligning student affairs with the academic mission of the University, and she has the ability to make that vision a reality.”

For Beeson, working on recruitment at the undergraduate level means “letting people like Kathy Porter and her staff do what they do best—getting the word out about what Pitt and Pittsburgh have to offer, identifying those potential students who could best take advantage of what we offer, and getting them to campus to meet some of our faculty, to meet University Honors College Dean Alec Stewart, to see our campus and our city. Once they visit campus, there is a very high probability that they will enroll. It allows us to extend the resources for scholarships and other financial assistance to attract undergraduates to our campus.”

Graduate and professional recruitment is much more diffuse at Pitt, says Beeson, because individual programs recruit and admit students: “Last year we had an admissions workshop, but mostly we have been trying to help program directors think about how better to use existing resources to recruit and support students while we also work to improve the financial support available for graduate students.”

Beeson has adopted as an additional focus of her work “making people at Pitt and outside of Pitt more aware of the strengths of our academic programs.” She noted that over the past few years there was more emphasis on relating stories about undergraduate research at Pitt, a genuine strength of Pitt’s undergraduate programs and “something we have been trying to let people know about.” This year, Beeson adds, “we have been focusing on raising the visibility of our graduate and professional programs.”

“Pitt has traditionally been known for its strong graduate and professional programs. In recent years we have not heard as much about these programs because of the focus on undergraduate education. Right now we

are trying to remind everyone that Pitt continues to have great graduate and professional programs that produce leading scholars and professionals in medicine, law, business, government, and the nonprofit sector.”

The issue of diversity, Beeson feels, is related to all of this. “I think it’s important that Pitt be an institution at which individuals with diverse backgrounds, ideas, and points of view come together to learn from and with each other,” she says.

“Now in my own role with PACWC, I am involved particularly with women’s issues, and I am pleased to say that over the past decade there has been a lot of success achieving goals set by this committee in the mid-90s. We are currently updating that agenda with input from the University community so that we can continue to make progress.”

After such a full plate set at her worktable, does Beeson have time for anything else? As her long days stretch into evenings? “As a mom with a 10-year-old son, I spend a lot of time going to SpongeBob SquarePants movies, spring recitals (he plays piano), and Little League baseball games. I also enjoy going to Pitt basketball, both the men’s and the women’s teams.”

And then there’s that weekly night out that’s been going on for 25 years. “When my sister and I drove into Pittsburgh back in 1983, econ department colleagues Shirley and Jim Cassing had invited us to stay with them while I looked for an apartment (little did they imagine that we would end up staying for a month!),” Beeson recalls. “When we arrived at their house, they had a group of friends over to welcome us to Pittsburgh. Those same people are my friends today. In fact, for years Shirley, some of those friends, and I have gone out for beer every Tuesday evening after teaching night classes. We still do; only instead of teaching a night class, I just work late. In fact, it was through them that I met my husband, Werner Trosken, who also is on the faculty at Pitt, and who joined us for beers his first year at Pitt!”
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Concerts

Jill Scott-The Real Thing Tour, 7 p.m.

Spring College/Community Choir Concert, features Mozart’s Requiem de Domingo Hidalgo Africa in Augustus. 7:30 p.m. March 26, Benedum Family Theater, Pitt-Bradford, 814-624-4125, www.music.pitt.edu.

Exhibitions


Manchester Craftsmen’s Guild, One Potter’s Touch Affects a Generation of Artists and Their Communities. Kate Gallery, through April 4, 1815 Metropolitan St., North Side, 412-322-1773, www.manchesterguild.org.


Researchers at the University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine have become the first to decipher the three-dimensional structure of a membrane-bound enzyme that plays a crucial role in glycerol metabolism—a discovery that could lead to important advances against obesity, diabetes, and a potential host of other diseases.

Their findings were reported in the March 4 issue of the Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences.

The sugar-alcohol glycerol is an essential source of energy that is required to help drive cellular respiration. In addition to powering some of the most central reactions of the body, glycerol also provides key precursors needed to regulate fatty acid and sugar metabolism. Figuring out the complex ways that cells break down or produce glycerol and use this vital chemical could be critical to combating obesity, diabetes, and other chronic disorders. Recent findings also have linked glycerol metabolism to cellular processes related to aging, infectivity in certain organisms such as Mycobacterium tuberculosis, and other energy-related illnesses.

“These findings and data help to fill the important class of membrane proteins that have been determined,” said Joanne I. Yeh, the study’s senior author and a professor of structural biology at Pitt. “I think that glycerol metabolism will be on the forefront of developing treatments for these diseases and so many others, since it is a pivotal yet underappreciated link among some very important metabolic pathways.

The protein structure that Yeh’s team solved is a large enzyme called Sn-glycerol-3-phosphate dehydrogenase—known simply as GlpD—found in abundance in the cell membranes of almost all organisms, including humans. GlpD is a monotopic membrane protein, which means that although it is embedded partially in the cell membrane, the protein does not span the entire membrane to the interior of the cell. As a result, it is technically challenging to produce enough highly purified and active protein to obtain clear, relevant information about the enzyme’s atomic structure. This study marks the highest resolution structure of a monotopic membrane protein that scientists have solved to date, one of only a handful of structures of this important class of membrane proteins that have been determined.

“These findings and data help to fill an important scientific and technical gap in the structural field. They also present new information and ideas about how the enzyme works and the importance of the cell membrane in stabilizing the enzyme and in processes related to energy production,” said Yeh, who published the paper with postdoctoral research associate Umesh N. Chante and research assistant professor Shoucheng Du, both in Pitt’s Department of Structural Biology.

Studying the proteins and enzymes involved in oxidative and glycerol metabolism as well as characterizing their structures, functions, and regulatory relationships has been a major research focus of Yeh’s lab. It took Yeh and her colleagues only three months—an unusually short time—to decipher the set of 3-D structures of GlpD isolated from E. coli bacteria, thanks to other methodologies they developed in earlier studies.